1 2 3 4	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP Andrew H. Schapiro (pro hac vice) andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com 191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700 Chicago, IL 60606 Telephone: (312) 705-7400 Facsimile: (312) 705-7401	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP Mark C. Mao (CA Bar No. 236165) mmao@bsfllp.com 44 Montgomery Street, 41 <sup>st</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 293 6858 Facsimile: (415) 999 9695		
5 6 7 8	Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605) stephenbroome@quinnemanuel.com Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526) violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com 865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017	SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. William Christopher Carmody (pro hac vice) bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com Shawn J. Rabin (pro hac vice) srabin@susmangodfrey.com		
9	Telephone: (213) 443-3000 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100	1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Floor New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 336-8330		
<ul><li>10</li><li>11</li><li>12</li></ul>	Diane M. Doolittle (CA Bar No. 142046) dianedoolittle@quinnemanuel.com 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor Redwood Shores, CA 94065 Telephone: (650) 801-5000 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100	MORGAN & MORGAN John A. Yanchunis (pro hac vice) jyanchunis@forthepeople.com Ryan J. McGee (pro hac vice)		
13 14	Attorneys for Defendant; additional counsel listed in signature blocks below	rmcgee@forthepeople.com 201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor Tampa, FL 33602 Telephone: (813) 223-5505		
<ul><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li></ul>		Attorneys for Plaintiffs; additional counsel listed in signature blocks below		
18	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT		
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION			
20	CHASOM BROWN, WILLIAM BYATT,	Case No. 5:20-cv-03664-LHK-SVK		
21	JEREMY DAVIS, CHRISTOPHER CASTILLO, and MONIQUE TRUJILLO,	[JOINT PROPOSED] ORDER LIMITING ONGOING DISCOVERY		
22   23	individually and on behalf of all similarly situated,	Referral: Hon. Susan van Keulen, USMJ		
24	Plaintiffs,			
25	v.			
26	GOOGLE LLC,			
27	Defendant.			
28				

# 2

3 4

# 5 6

8

9

7

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

## [JOINT PROPOSED] ORDER

Before the Court is the parties' Joint Discovery Submission. Having considered the parties' statements and other papers on file, and good cause having been found, the Court ORDERS as follows:

## 1. Interrogatories.

<u>Plaintiffs' Proposal</u>: Unless otherwise stipulated or ordered by the Court, a party may serve no more than 50 interrogatories, including all discrete subparts. Fed. R. Civ. P. 33. As of the date of this Order, Google has not yet provided any responses to Interrogatory Nos. 8 and 11 through 17, including on the basis of the parties' dispute about counting interrogatories (Dkt. 281, P13). Google shall provide responses to those interrogatories within 10 business days of this Order, and the responses may not include objections on the ground that Plaintiffs have exceeded the interrogatory limit. For purposes of the 50-interrogatory limit, Plaintiffs' first 17 interrogatories shall be counted as 19 interrogatories. Going forward, Google may not object to an interrogatory on relevance grounds, and then proceed to count that interrogatory against the limit. (E.g., Interrogatory No. 11, which Google purports to count as 4 interrogatories despite refusing to respond).

Defendant's Proposal: Unless otherwise stipulated or ordered by the Court, a party may serve no more than 25 interrogatories, including all discrete subparts. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 33. As of the date of this Order, Plaintiffs have served six sets of interrogatories comprising 17 requests excluding discrete subparts, and 27 requests including discrete subparts. To resolve their counting dispute (Dkt. 281, P13), Plaintiffs' Interrogatory Nos. 2 and 3 shall count as two interrogatories each, Interrogatory Nos. 10 and 11 shall count as four interrogatories each, and Interrogatory No. 13 shall count as three interrogatories, amounting to 27 total interrogatories. Consistent with Rule 33's limit of 25 interrogatories, Google will supplement its objections and responses to Plaintiffs' Sixth Set of Interrogatories (Interrogatory Nos. 8, 12-17) after Plaintiffs identify two interrogatories from this set to be withdrawn. Plaintiffs shall identify such interrogatories within two (2) business

8

9

6

12 13

11

14 15

17 18

16

19

20

21

22 23

24 25 26

27

28

days of this Order, and Google shall answer such interrogatories ten (10) business days later. Plaintiff shall not serve additional interrogatories without leave of the Court.

### 2. Requests for Admission.

Joint Proposal: Absent a showing of good cause, each party shall be limited to 75 Requests for Admission ("RFAs"). This limit shall not apply to RFAs to authenticate documents or otherwise used to admit evidence.

### 3. Resolving Outstanding Requests for Production.

Plaintiffs' Proposal: By October 12, 2021, Plaintiffs are to identify 40 Requests for Production ("RFPs") from among the 66 RFPs in their Sixth and Seventh Sets of RFPs for prioritization (the "Prioritized RFPs"). The parties agree to meet and confer in good faith concerning the Prioritized RFPs, including a production and privilege log timeline, and seek Court intervention in the event they reach an impasse.

Defendant's Proposal: By October 12, 2021, Plaintiffs are to identify 20 Requests for Production ("RFPs") from among the 66 RFPs in their Sixth and Seventh Sets of RFPs, which, counting all discrete subparts, contain 141 requests (the "Limited RFPs"). The parties agree to meet and confer in good faith concerning these Limited RFPs, including a production and privilege log timeline, and seek Court intervention in the event they reach an impasse. For the remaining RFPs, Google's Responses and Objections shall stand.

### 4. Future Requests for Production.

<u>Plaintiffs' Proposal</u>: The parties may not serve more than 25 new RFPs after the date of entry of this order. The time to respond or object to new RFPs under Fed. R. Civ. P. 34(b)(2)(A) is hereby shortened to fourteen (14) days from service, and the parties shall meet-and-confer in person or via video conference within three (3) business days of service of the objections and responses and conclude negotiations within seven (7) business days.

<u>Defendant's Proposal</u>: Plaintiffs have propounded 235 RFPs across seven sets of requests served between September 2020 and September 2021, which, counting all the subparts, contain 337 requests. As of the date of this Order, Google has produced over 5.3 million pages of documents. In light of such "existing discovery," the parties must meet-and-confer in good faith in person or via video conference within three (3) business days should Plaintiffs wish to serve additional RFPs, and promptly seek Court intervention (before any such RFPs are formally served) in the event of impasse.

#### 5. Last-in-Time Emails.

Joint Proposal: For all custodians and email productions, Google shall produce last-in-branch emails, including email messages with non-duplicative attachments, so that Plaintiffs receive all non-privileged, responsive email content. Google will not be required to produce wholly duplicative earlier-in-branch emails, except that, for any noticed deponent, Google shall also produce all custodial earlier-in-branch emails and attachments no later than one week before the deposition, provided that the deposition is noticed at least three weeks in advance of the deposition date.

#### 6. Search Terms.

<u>Joint Proposal</u>: The parties agree to meet and confer in good faith should Plaintiffs wish to seek any additional, targeted search terms, and seek Court intervention in the event they reach an impasse.

#### 7. Custodians.

<u>Joint Proposal</u>: The Court has imposed a cap of 42 Google custodians. Absent a Court order, where Plaintiffs would need to show good cause and Google permitted an opportunity to respond, the parties will not add any custodians.

### 8. Depositions.

1	Plaintiffs' Proposal: Considering the parties' arguments in the prior submission (Dkt. 281				
2	at 9, P17), Plaintiffs' prior 30(b)(6) depositions of Jesse Adkins and Glenn Bernston were taken				
3	pursuant to Court order and shall not be counted against the 20 deposition limit ordered by the Court,				
4	and Plaintiffs therefore have 19 additional depositions.				
5	Google's Proposal: Considering the parties' arguments in the prior submission (Dkt. 281 at				
6 7	9-12, P17), Plaintiffs' Rule 30(b)(6) depositions of Jesse Adkins and Glenn Berntson count towards				
8	the 20 deposition limit per this Court's August 12 Order (Dkt. 242-1 at 2) because neither deposition				
9	was taken pursuant to this Court's prior orders. Plaintiffs therefore have 17 additional depositions.				
10	was taken parsaant to this court is prior orders. Training therefore have 17 additional depositions.				
11					
12	SO ORDERED.				
13					
14	DATED:				
15	HON. SUSAN VAN KEULEN United States Magistrate Judge				
16					
17					
18					
19 20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	Case No. 5:20-cv-03664-LHK-SVK  [PROPOSED] ORDER LIMITING ONGOING DISCOVERY				

1	DATED: October 8, 2021	QUI	NN EMANUEL URQUHART &
2		SUL	LIVAN, LLP
3		D	/-/ A - 1 II C -1
4		Ву	/s/ Andrew H. Schapiro Andrew H. Schapiro (admitted pro hac vice)
5			andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com 191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700
6			Chicago, IL 60606 Tel: (312) 705-7400
7			Fax: (312) 705-7401
8			Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605) stephenbroome@quinnemanuel.com Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526)
9			violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com 865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
10			Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (213) 443-3000
11			Facsimile: (213) 443-3100
12			Diane M. Doolittle (CA Bar No. 142046) dianedoolittle@quinnemanuel.com
13			555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor Redwood Shores, CA 94065
14			Telephone: (650) 801-5000 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100
15			Josef Ansorge (admitted pro hac vice)
16			josefansorge@quinnemanuel.com Carl Spilly (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )
17			carlspilly@quinnemauel.com carlspilly@quinnemanuel.com
18			1300 I. Street, N.W., Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20005
19			Telephone: 202-538-8000 Facsimile: 202-538-8100
20			Jomaire A. Crawford (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )
21			jomairecrawford@quinnemanuel.com 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
22			New York, NY 10010 Telephone: (212) 849-7000
23			Facsimile: (212) 849-7100
24			Jonathan Tse (CA Bar No. 305468)
25			jonathantse@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22nd Floor
26			San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 875-6600
27			Facsimile: (415) 875-6700
28			Attorneys for Defendant Google LLC
			Case No. 5:20-cv-03664-LHK-SVK

[PROPOSED] ORDER LIMITING ONGOING DISCOVERY

1	DATED: October 8, 2021	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
2	,	
3		By /s/Mark C. Mao
4		Mark C. Mao (CA Bar No. 236165)
5		mmao@bsfllp.com Sean Phillips Rodriguez (CA Bar No. 262437)
6		srodriguez@bsfllp.com Beko Rebitz-Richardson (CA Bar No. 238027)
7		brichardson@bsfllp.com
8		Alexander Justin Konik (CA Bar No. 299291) akonik@bsfllp.com
		BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
9		44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor
10		San Francisco, CA 94104
1.1		Telephone: (415) 293 6858 Facsimile (415) 999 9695
11		1 acsimile (413) 777 7073
12		James W. Lee (pro hac vice) jlee@bsfllp.com
13		Rossana Baeza
		rbaeza@bsfllp.com BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
14		100 SE 2 <sup>nd</sup> Street, Suite 2800
15		Miami, FL 33130
1.6		Telephone: (305) 539-8400 Facsimile: (305) 539-1304
16		raesimile. (303) 339-1304
17		Amanda Bonn (CA Bar No. 270891)
18		abonn@susmangodfrey.com
		SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. 1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400
19		Los Angeles, CA 90067
20		Telephone: (310) 789-3100
21		William Christopher Carmody (pro hac vice)
22		bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com Shawn J. Rabin (pro hac vice)
22		srabin@susmangodfrey.com
23		Steven Shepard (pro hac vice)
24		sshepard@susmangodfrey.com Alexander P. Frawley (pro hac vice)
25		afrawley@susmangodfrey.com
<b>4</b> 3		SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
26		1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32 <sup>nd</sup> Floor
27		New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 336-8330
		1 elephone. (212) 330-6330
28		
	1	

Case No. 5:20-cv-03664-LHK-SVK [PROPOSED] ORDER LIMITING ONGOING DISCOVERY

John A. Yanchunis (pro hac vice) jyanchunis@forthepeople.com Ryan J. McGee (pro hac vice) rmcgee@forthepeople.com Michael F. Ram (pro hac vice) mram@forthepeople.com Ra O. Amen (pro hac vice) ramen@forthepeople.com MORGAN & MORGAN, P.A. 201 N Franklin Street, 7th Floor Tampa, FL 33602 Telephone: (813) 223-5505 Facsimile: (813) 222-4736 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Case No. 5:20-cv-03664-LHK-SVK

[PROPOSED] ORDER LIMITING ONGOING DISCOVERY